arXiv:hep-th/9205062v1 18 May 1992

Four Dimensional Stringy Black Membrane

Supriya K. Kar[1], S. Pratik Khastgir[2] and Gautam Sengupta[3]
Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar-751005, INDIA.

Abstract

An exact conformal field theory describing a four dimensional singular string background is obtained by chiral gauging a $U(1)$ subgroup along with translations in R of an $SL(2,R)\times R$ Wess-Zumino-Witten model. It is shown that the target space-time describes a four dimensional black membrane. Furthermore various duality transformed solutions are constructed. These are also shown to correspond to various forms of four dimensional black membranes.

IP/BBSR/92-35

May '92.

[1]e-mail:supriya%iopb@shakti.ernet.in
[2]e-mail:pratik%iopb@shakti.ernet.in
[3]e-mail:sengupta%iopb@shakti.ernet.in

In recent years the analogs of singular solutions in general theory of relativity have come to play an important role in the understanding of string theories in curved backgrounds. Intense investigation in this direction has established the existence of black holes and their higher dimensional generalizations (black strings and p-branes) as solutions to the low energy string equations of motion [1]. However the exact conformal field theories describing such singular string backgrounds were not understood. Very recently the existence of a graviton dilaton string background describing a two dimensional target space black hole was established in [2]. It was found immediately afterwards [3] that the exact conformal field theory describing this two dimensional black hole may be obtained by gauging the axial or the vector $U(1)$ subgroup of an $SL(2,R)$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) [4, 5] model. Considerable activity over the past year has unravelled the structure of this two dimensional black hole and its related generalization (black strings and p-branes) in string theories [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

In an interesting article [11] Chung and Tye recently showed that an alternative anomaly free, left right asymmetric, chiral gauging of the Wess-Zumino-Witten model was also possible. Recently one of us [SKK] in collaboration with A.Kumar has shown [12] that the target space-time of a chiral gauged $\frac{SL(2,R)}{U(1)}$ WZW model corresponds to a three dimensional black string similar to Horne and Horowitz [10]. In this article we construct an exact conformal field theory corresponding to a four dimensional black mem-

brane by chiral gauging the $U(1)$ subgroup along with translations in R of an $SL(2,R)\times R$ WZW model. We also discuss various duality transformed solutions exploiting the isometries present. Furthermore we show that the target space-time structure leads to a product of a two dimensional black hole [3] and two non compact flat directions under certain simplifying assumptions.

The action for the chiral gauged $SL(2,R)$ WZW model is [11, 12]

$$S = S^{WZW} + \frac{k}{2\pi}\int d^2z\; Tr\;[\; A^R_z\; U^{-1}\bar{\partial}U + A^L_{\bar{z}}\;\partial U\; U^{-1} + A^R_z U^{-1} A^L_{\bar{z}} U\;]. \quad (1)$$

Where A^R_z ($A^L_{\bar{z}}$) in eq.(1) are the $z(\bar{z})$ component of gauge field A^R_μ (A^L_μ) and $U(z,\bar{z}) \in\; SL(2,R)$.

To obtain the conformal field theory describing a four dimensional string background we add a single *non compact boson* X representing the R direction to the WZW action. The coupling of the gauge field to the bosonic field X is given by a modification of the gauge invariant action of Isibashi et al [9]. Notice that the complete independence of the left and the right gauge fields in the chiral gauged model allows us the freedom of choosing different couplings of the bosonic field X to the gauge field. We have the following action; $S_G = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z[\partial X\bar{\partial}X + e_L\mathcal{A}^L_{\bar{z}}\partial X + e_R\mathcal{A}^R_z\bar{\partial}X]$. Adding this action to the WZW action with the gauge field assignments $A^L_{\bar{z}} \equiv (\,-\frac{i}{2}\mathcal{A}^L_{\bar{z}}\;\sigma_2)$, $A^R_z \equiv (\,-\frac{i}{2}\mathcal{A}^R_z\;\sigma_2)$ we arrive at the following action.

$$\begin{aligned} S \quad &= S_{WZW} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\; d^2z\partial X\bar{\partial}X \\ &+\frac{k}{2\pi}\int\; d^2z\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^R_z\Big(Tr[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^{-1}\bar{\partial}U] - \frac{2e_R}{k}\bar{\partial}X\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^L_{\bar z}\Big(Tr[\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\partial U U^{-1}] - \frac{2e_L}{k}\partial X\Big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{A}^R_z\mathcal{A}^L_{\bar z}\Big(Tr[\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}U^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}U]\Big)\Big] \qquad (2)$$

The classical action S in eqn.(2) is invariant under the gauge transformations [9, 11, 12]

$$\delta U = v_L(\bar z)U - U v_R(z),$$
$$\delta\mathcal{A}^L_{\bar z} = -\bar\partial v_L(\bar z)$$
$$\delta\mathcal{A}^R_z = \partial v_R(z).$$
$$\delta X = 0. \qquad (3)$$

Parametrizing the sigma model field U in terms of the Euler angles as;
$U \equiv exp\ (\frac{i}{2}\theta_L\ \sigma_2)\ exp\ (\frac{r}{2}\ \sigma_1)\ exp\ (\frac{i}{2}\theta_R\ \sigma_2)$ we obtain,

$$S_{WZW} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int d^2z\ [-\frac{1}{2}\partial\theta_L\bar\partial\theta_L\ -\ \frac{1}{2}\partial\theta_R\bar\partial\theta_R + \frac{1}{2}\partial r\bar\partial r - \cosh r\partial\theta_R\bar\partial\theta_L], \quad (4)$$

and we have from eqn.(2)

$$\begin{aligned} S = \quad & S_{WZW}\ + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\ d^2z\ \partial X\bar\partial X \\ & + \frac{k}{4\pi}\int d^2z\ [\mathcal{A}^R_z(\bar\partial\theta_R\ +\ \cosh r\bar\partial\theta_L + \frac{2e_R}{k}\bar\partial X) \\ & + \mathcal{A}^L_{\bar z}(\partial\theta_L\ +\ \cosh r\partial\theta_R + \frac{2e_L}{k}\partial X)\ -\ \mathcal{A}^L_{\bar z}\mathcal{A}^R_z\cosh r]. \end{aligned} \qquad (5)$$

Integrating over the gauge field we obtain the following non linear sigma model. The background fields consist of the metric, antisymmetric tensor and a dilaton field from the one loop correction [3].

$$S = \quad \frac{k}{8\pi}\int d^2z\ [\partial r\bar\partial r + \partial\theta_L\bar\partial\theta_L + \partial\theta_R\bar\partial\theta_R + (\frac{4}{k} + \frac{8e_Re_L}{k^2\cosh r})\partial X\bar\partial X$$

$$+\frac{2}{\cosh r}\partial\theta_L\bar{\partial}\theta_R+\frac{4e_R}{k\cosh r}\partial\theta_L\bar{\partial}X+\frac{4e_L}{k\cosh r}\partial X\bar{\partial}\theta_R$$
$$+\frac{4e_R}{k}\partial\theta_R\bar{\partial}X+\frac{4e_L}{k}\partial X\bar{\partial}\theta_L+R^{(2)}(\ln\cosh r+c)] \tag{6}$$

Where the background metric ($G_{\mu\nu}$) and antisymmetric tensor ($B_{\mu\nu}$) are given as follows

$$G=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\cosh r} & \frac{2}{k}(e_L+\frac{e_R}{\cosh r})\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\cosh r} & 1 & \frac{2}{k}(e_R+\frac{e_L}{\cosh r})\\ 0 & \frac{2}{k}(e_L+\frac{e_R}{\cosh r}) & \frac{2}{k}(e_R+\frac{e_L}{\cosh r}) & (\frac{4}{k}+\frac{8e_Re_L}{k^2\cosh r})\end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

$$B=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\cosh r} & -\frac{2}{k}(e_L-\frac{e_R}{\cosh r})\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\cosh r} & 0 & \frac{2}{k}(e_R-\frac{e_L}{\cosh r})\\ 0 & \frac{2}{k}(e_L-\frac{e_R}{\cosh r}) & -\frac{2}{k}(e_R-\frac{e_L}{\cosh r}) & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where we have modded out the constant $\frac{k}{4}$. The one loop contribution to dilaton is $\phi=-\ln\cosh r+const.$

Observe that the background fields depend on a single coordinate (r in our case). Such backgrounds have been extensively discussed by Meissner and Veneziano [13] and the field equations satisfied by these background fields to one loop in the sigma model has been obtained. We have explicitly verified that the background configuration obtained by us satisfies the complete set of field equations given in ref.[13] for the cosmological term $V=1$. Thus the non linear sigma model in (6) describes a string background with scalar curvature $R=-\frac{7}{2}\ \frac{1}{\cosh^2 r}$. This shows that the target space-time contains a curvature singularity. However it does not occur for any real value of r in the coordinate system of our choice. Applying an orthogonal transformation

$[G \rightarrow O^T G O]$ through the matrix

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{9}$$

to simplify the form of the target space-time we arrive at the following expressions for G and B such that,

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}) \\ 0 & 0 & (1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_-(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}) \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_-(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & (\frac{4}{k}+\frac{8e_Re_L}{k^2\cosh r}) \end{pmatrix} , \tag{10}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\cosh r} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_-(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}) \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\cosh r} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}) \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_-(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{11}$$

where $e_R + e_L = e_+$ and $e_R - e_L = e_-$. The invariant line element reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \quad &= dr^2 + \Big(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}\Big)dx^2 + \Big(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}\Big)dy^2 + \Big(\frac{4}{k}+\frac{8e_Re_L}{k^2\cosh r}\Big)dz^2 \\ &+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+\Big(1+\frac{1}{\cosh r}\Big)dxdz + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k}e_-\Big(1-\frac{1}{\cosh r}\Big)dydz \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $r,\ x\ , y\ , z$ are the new space-time coordinate. From the form of the line element (12) we observe that the target space-time described by the chiral gauged $\frac{SL(2,R)\times R}{U(1)}$ WZW model is asymptotically flat. In the limit the WZW level $k \rightarrow \infty$ this reduces to the three dimensional black string of Horne and Horowitz [10, 12]. In the extremal limit of not gauging R at all *i.e* $e_R = e_L = 0$ the target space-time describes a product of the three

dimensional black string [10, 12] and a noncompact flat direction. So the metric (12) describes a four dimensional black membrane with axionic charge.

We now apply the duality transformations given in Nojiri [14] exploiting the isometry in the x-direction. The dual configuration is

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\cosh r}{\cosh r+1} & -\frac{1}{\cosh r+1} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_- \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\cosh r+1} & \frac{\cosh r}{\cosh r+1} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_- \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_- & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_- & (\frac{4}{k} - \frac{8e_R e_L}{k^2}) \end{pmatrix} , \tag{13}$$

the antisymmetric tensor is reduced to a constant value

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+ \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+ \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+ & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k}e_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{14}$$

and $\tilde{\phi} = -\ln(\cosh r + 1) + const. = -\ln\cosh^2\frac{r}{2} + const.$

It is established in Rocek et al [15] that the dual manifold is described by the same conformal field theory when the isometry under consideration is compact. However if the isometry is along a non compact direction then the dual manifold is actually an orbifold obtained by modding out the translations in that direction. For the conformal field theory this implies that the momentum modes are replaced by the winding modes [15]. For our case the dual solution must be admitted with the foregoing caveat as the isometries considered are along two non compact directions.

Once more we apply an orthogoanl transformation to bring the expres-

sions to a familiar form using the matrix O in eqn.(9) to arrive at

$$\hat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tanh^2(\frac{r}{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{k}e_- \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{k}e_- & (\frac{4}{k} - \frac{8e_R e_L}{k^2}) \end{pmatrix} , \tag{15}$$

$$\hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{k}e_+ \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{k}e_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{16}$$

and ϕ remains unchanged.

The invariant line element is now

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \tanh^2(\frac{r}{2})dx^2 + dy^2 + \frac{4}{k}e_- dydz + dz^2 \tag{17}$$

where we have rescaled $z = \sqrt{(\frac{4}{k} - \frac{8e_R e_L}{k^2})}\, z$.

We now proceed to discuss certain interesting limiting cases of our solution. Earlier we have stated that the complete independence of the gauge fields in the left and the right sectors allows us the freedom of choosing different couplings to the non compact boson X. This corresponds to the most general description of the target space-time geometry. We observe that with the identifications $e_R = e_L = e$ or $e_R = -e_L = e$ we arrive at simplified form of the target space-time. Observe from eqn.(17) that for the case $e_R = e_L = e$ our solution corresponds to the product of the two dimensional black hole [3] and two non compact flat directions. For the case $e_R = -e_L = e$ we obtain a target space-time with zero torsion field and non diagonal form of the metric. Notice that the presence of two non compact isometries in the

x and the y-direction leads to two differing descriptions of the target space time using the duality transformations corresponding to these isometries. It is possible to show that using the y isometry for the case $e_R = -e_L$ we arrive at the same target space time for $e_R = e_L$. So these two solutions are in one sense dual to each other.

On the other hand if we had employed the duality transformations corresponding to the y isometry in eqns.(10,11) we would have arrived at a dual description of the target space-time described in eqn.(17). In this case also we can show that the two different identifications of the gauge couplings as above lead to two different target space-time which are related by the duality corresponding to the residual x isometry as opposed to the y isometry in the previous case.

To conclude we have obtained an exact conformal field theory describing a novel space-time geometry corresponding to stationary black membrane in four dimensions. This is represented by a chiral gauged $\frac{SL(2,R)\times R}{U(1)}$ WZW model. We discuss several interesting aspects of our solution corresponding to the identifications of the left and the right gauge couplings of the gauge fields to the non compact bosonic field X. Furthermore we exploit the two different isometries present to implement duality transformations and arrive at differing dual descriptions of the target space-time geometry describing a black membrane in four dimensions. We mention *en passant* that by choosing the boson X as compact a space-time $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge background along

with a scalar field is induced in addition to the metric, antisymmetric tensor field and the dilaton. We have verified that this leads to a three dimensional electrically charged black string solution analogous to that obtained in Horne and Horowitz [10]. The solution obtained by us may be generated from the three dimensional solution in [12] by application of the algorithm outlined in [16] for generating classical solution in higher dimensions starting from a lower dimensional solution. This clearly illustrates that it is possible to arrive at our solution starting from a suitable string effective action [13] with the cosmological term $V = 1$ in four dimensional space-time. It would be interesting to investigate the thermodynamic and the cosmological implications of our solution.

Acknowledgements:

All of us would like to thank Alok Kumar and Jnanadeva Maharana for suggestions and many interesting discussions.

References

[1] G.W.Gibbons and K.Maeda Nucl.Phys. **B**, **298**, 741, (1988); A.Dabholkar and J.Harvey Phys. Rev. Lett., **63**, 719, (1989); G.T Horowitz and A.Storminger, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **360**, 197, (1991); M.J.Duff and J.X.Lu, Texas University Report No. CTP-TAMU-81-90, (1990); C.G.Callan, R.C.Meyers and M.J.Perry, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **311**, 673, (1988/89).

[2] G.Mandal, A.Sengupta and S.R.Wadia, Mod.Phys.Lett.,**A6**,1685, (1991).

[3] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 314 (1991)

[4] D.Karabali, Q.H.Park, H.J.Schnitzer, Z.Yang, Phys. Lett. **B**,**216**, 307, (1989); H.J.Schnitzer, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **324**, 412, (1989); D.Karabali and H.J.Schnitzer, Nucl.Phys. **B329**, 649, (1990); K.Gawedzki and A.Kupianen, Nucl.Phys. **B**,**320**, 625, (1989).

[5] I.Bars and D.Nemeschansky, Princeton Report No. IASSNS-HEP-90/15, (1990); I.Bars, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **334**, 125, (1990).

[6] A.Giveon, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A6** 2843, (1991); E.Kiritsis, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A6** 2871, (1991); R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Princeton Report No. IASSNS-HEP-91/22 (1991); E. Martinec and S. Shatashvili, Chicago Univ. Report No. EFI-91-22 (1991); M. Bershadsky and D.

Kutasov, Princeton Univ. Report No. PUPT-1261, HUTP-91/A 024 (1991).

[7] P.Horava Chicago Univ. Report No. EFI-91-57, (1991); S.P.De Alwiss and J.Lykken, Phys. Lett. **B**,**269**, 264, (1991); A.Tsyetlin, Johns Hopkins Univ. Report No. JHU-TIPAC-91009, (1991); I.Jack, D.R.T. Jones, J.Panvel, Univ.Of Liverpool Report No. LTH-277,(1992).

[8] I.Bars, Univ.of Southern California Report No. USC-91/HEP-B3, (1991); and Report No. USC-91/HEP-B4, (1991); I.Bars and K. Sfetsos, Univ. of Southern California, Los Angeles preprint USC-91-HEP-B6 (1991).

[9] N. Ishibashi, M. Li and A. R. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3336 (1991); S.P.Khastgir and A. Kumar, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6**, 3365 (1991); M.D.Mc.Guian, C.R.Nappi and S.A.Yost, Princeton Report No. IASSNS-HEP-91/57, (1991).

[10] S.B.Giddings and A.Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett., **67**,2930, (1991); J. H. Horne and G. T. Horowitz, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **368**, 444, (1992); A.Sen, Phys. Lett. **B**, **274**, 34, (1991); Phys. Lett. **B**, **271**, 295, (1991); S.F.Hassan and A.Sen, Tata Institute Report No. TIFR/TH/91-40, (1991); A.Sen, Tata institute Report No. TIFR/TH/92-20, (1992)

[11] S.Chung and S.H.Tye, Cornell Univ. Report No. CLNS-91/1127, (1991).

[12] S.K.Kar and A.Kumar, Institute of Physics Bhubaneswar Report No. IP/BBSR/92-18 ,(1992).

[13] G.Veneziano, Phys. Lett. **B**, **265**, 287, (1991); K.Meissner and G.Veneziano, Phys. Lett. **B**, **267**, 33, (1991); CERN Report No. CERN-TH-6235/91, (1991); M.Gasperini, J.Maharana and G.Veneziano, CERN Report No. CERN-TH-6124/91, (1991).

[14] T.Buscher, Phys. Lett. **B**, **159**, 127, (1985); Phys. Lett. **B**, **194**, 59, (1987); Phys. Lett. **B**, bf 201, 466, (1988); S.Nojiri, KEK Report No. KEK-TH/306 (1991); J.H.Horne, G.T.Horowitz and A.R.Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett., **68**, 568, (1992); and Santa Barbara Report No. UCSBTH-92-11, (1992).

[15] M.Rocek and E.Verlinde, Nucl.Phys. **B**, **373**, 568, (1991).

[16] S.K.Kar, S.P.Khastgir and A.Kumar, Institute of Physics Report No. IP/BBSR/91-51 (To appear in Mod.Phys.Lett.A)